



National Association of Flood & Stormwater Management Agencies

P.O. Box 56764, Washington, DC 20040

Phone: 202-289-8625 www.nafsma.org

**Testimony of the National Association of Flood and
Stormwater Management Agencies**

Presented by Dusty Williams

NAFSMA President and General Manager/Chief Engineer

Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Water Resources Development Act of 2013

U.S. House of Representatives

Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

Water Resources and Environment Subcommittee

Representative Bob Gibbs, Chairman

April 16, 2013

The National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies (NAFSMA) is very pleased to testify on proposals for the Water Resources Development Act of 2013. On behalf of our membership, many of whom are non-federal partners on flood damage reduction and environmental restoration projects with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, we thank you for your leadership and efforts to move a Water Resources Development Act forward this year.

NAFSMA appreciates the difficulty of drafting this much-needed bill in light of the serious economic issues facing the nation, at all levels of government, and the constraints of earmark limitations on this national authorization debate. We thank you for your efforts to move water resources projects forward and offer to work with you to address these critical issues as you continue your efforts to enact a comprehensive water resources development bill this year.

Background on NAFSMA

NAFSMA is a public agency driven organization based in the nation's capital, with a focus on effective flood and stormwater management in urban areas. Our mission for close to 35 years has been to advocate public policy and encourage technologies in watershed management that focus on flood protection, stormwater and floodplain management. Through this mission, NAFSMA enhances the ability of its member agencies to protect lives, property and economic activity from the adverse impacts of storm and flood waters.

Formed in 1978, NAFSMA works closely with the Corps of Engineers, the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, as well as other federal agencies and national water resource organizations to carry out its mission. NAFSMA members are on the front line protecting their communities and regions from loss of life and property and are responsible for flood mitigation, flood water and emergency management activities as well as the water quality protection.

Therefore, the organization is keenly aware that flood damage reduction activities and projects are a wise and necessary investment required to first reduce loss of life and ensure the safety of our citizens. In addition, our members are charged with reducing damages to peoples' homes and businesses and critical infrastructure, while also protecting the environment and preventing economic disruption. Flood management has proven to be a wise investment that more than pays for itself by preserving life and property, thereby reducing recurring requests for federal disaster assistance.

Especially since WRDA 1986, this protection has been provided through a strong and well-tested federal-nonfederal partnership which NAFSMA values and will continue to work to improve and strengthen as we move forward in such critical flood management discussions as WRDA 2012. As a result, we are dedicated to ensuring that the nation's flood management systems can be operated and maintained properly and any needed inventory, assessments and repairs to flood damage reduction structures can be implemented smoothly.

Intergovernmental Flood Risk Management Efforts

Beginning in August 2005, just prior to Hurricane Katrina's devastating impact on the Gulf Coast, NAFSMA convened a discussion between our members, Corps leadership, FEMA, the Association of State Floodplain Managers, and other levee experts to discuss the need to inventory and assess the nation's levees due to issues that would definitely develop in this area as FEMA's flood map modernization process continued to move forward. This meeting and numerous subsequent joint

discussions have led to a much stronger working relationship in the flood damage reduction arena between the Corps of Engineers and FEMA. These interagency partnerships at the federal, as well as at the state, regional and local levels are critical to reducing the nation's risks from flooding.

NAFSMA very much appreciates the strong initiatives of both agencies and their leaders to speak with one federal voice on these critical issues. Many strides have been made in this effort at the federal level and we hope that this continued commitment will result in better communications and partnerships at the District and regional levels of both agencies.

NAFSMA Recommendations for WRDA 2013

Enact WRDA 2013

The reauthorization of the Water Resources Development Act is critical. In the wake of the enormous devastation caused by Sandy, moving our nation's flood risk management initiatives forward to protect life, property and the economy from flood and storm disasters is more important than ever. Local, regional and state agencies depend on WRDA's reauthorization.

Crucial flood damage reduction, environmental restoration and watershed planning projects face significant cost increases and missed opportunities for safety, economic, and environmental improvements while waiting for Congressional authorization. During these tough economic times, we must all find ways to reduce costs, expedite studies, and minimize reviews and permitting so we can build projects that reduce the loss of life and property from the flood threat and put people to work.

As you move forward with drafting WRDA 2013, it is important to recall that many existing and potential non-federal sponsors and their congressional delegations held critical projects back from consideration in WRDA 2007 at the request of committee leadership and staff in an effort to move that bill forward. These projects now need to be considered as they are necessary to protect lives, public safety and critical infrastructure, and provide new jobs critical to the economy. In addition, some existing project authorizations require amendments to move forward, as well.

Exclude Corps of Engineers Water Resources Projects from the Definition of Earmarks

Federal funds used to reduce the loss of life and property damages from floods are an investment in improving the resiliency of a community and the nation. The Corps of Engineers' process and associated legislative requirements for identifying, vetting, and funding potential projects is an example of a transparent and public process which does not belong in the earmark category. Since a national interest needs to be determined in Corps-partnered projects before they can move forward, this factor should remove them from the earmark definition. NAFSMA urges Congress to exclude Corps of Engineers water resources projects from the earmark category.

Enactment of a National Levee Safety Program

Having had the privilege to serve as a member of the National Committee on Levee Safety established by WRDA 2007, I am pleased that NAFSMA's membership approved a resolution in support of enactment of a National Levee Safety Program in November 2011, which is attached.

In adopting the resolution, NAFSMA noted that the nation lacks of a complete understanding of levee location, ownership and condition throughout the country, and further that federal funding participation is required for the rehabilitation and repair of levees, many of which were constructed in partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. NAFSMA's resolution also recognizes that levee owners and operators should implement flood risk management activities such as emergency preparedness and planning and education and outreach components as part of a flood risk management program.

NAFSMA urges Congress to move forward with a voluntary and incentive based National Levee Safety Program that includes qualified states, and local and regional flood control districts. NAFSMA further recommends that a levee rehabilitation and repair fund with incentives for sound flood risk management be established as part of WRDA 2013.

Other Critical Levee Safety Recommendations

Authorize Completion of the National Levee Inventory

NAFSMA urges Congress to authorize the completion of the National Levee Inventory (also known as the national levee database), including non-federal, as well as federal levees.

Authorize Corps, When Requested, to Carry Out Levee Certifications

With many flood damage reduction projects built through partnerships with the Corps, the Corps District offices are in many cases uniquely suited to carry out levee certification activities. NAFSMA strongly believes that the original national interest that was determined to exist in order for federally-partnered flood damage reduction projects to move forward, still remains, and in most cases is even stronger. It follows then that there is a shared responsibility for the Corps to participate in FEMA's certification process.

Establish National Levee Rehabilitation, Improvement, and Flood Mitigation Fund

In the spirit of shared responsibility, NAFSMA strongly endorses the recommendation of the National Committee on Levee Safety and urges Congress to establish a repair, rehabilitation and flood mitigation program to address critical levee repairs and authorize federal cost-sharing with owners and operators of levee systems.

Explore Expanding Credit Incentives for Levee Safety Activities

NAFSMA urges that full credit for work performed by a non-federal sponsor, or cost sharing partner, for identified levee strengthening or retrofit activities not be limited to the nonfederal cost of the project. In instances where major activity is needed to repair federally-partnered flood management projects, the nonfederal sponsor needs the ability to advance these activities with the knowledge that the work will be eligible for appropriate credit. NAFSMA offers to work with the Committee and the Corps to amend these applicable sections.

Crediting for Ecosystem Restoration Activities Linked with Levee Safety Strengthening and Retrofits

NAFSMA urges that credit or reimbursement be allowed for environmental mitigation or restoration activities needed as the result of work performed to repair or improve existing flood damage reduction systems.

Develop and Implement Measures to More Closely Harmonize Levee Operation and Maintenance Activities with Environmental Protection Requirements

This National Committee on Levee Safety recommendation is particularly important to NAFSMA members who are currently trying to maintain the integrity and strength of their existing levees so they provide the flood reduction capabilities expected by the public. Currently, there is a lack of consistency by federal regulators and environmental agencies in the permitting and guidance of levee maintenance that is resulting in unpredictable requirements and timelines. Specifically, the management of deep-rooted vegetation on levees has become controversial.

Conflicting regulatory and environmental agencies' views are resulting in long delays or inability to perform needed infrastructure maintenance. NAFSMA concurs with the National Committee on Levee Safety that acceptable operation and maintenance practices need to be developed in conjunction with and coordination with state and federal environmental agencies so lives and property can be protected, and significant environmental and natural resources are not impacted.

NAFSMA urges Congress to clarify routine maintenance of flood damage reduction channels and basins and to improve the regulatory process for obtaining the necessary permits for routine maintenance of the facilities.

Non-Federal Project Implementation Pilot Program

Include a pilot program to evaluate the cost-effectiveness and project delivery efficiency of allowing non-federal interests to carry out flood risk management projects. NAFSMA is very supportive of this type of effort for design and construction of projects that do not require a new start. This effort could help to identify project delivery and cost-savings alternatives that reduce the backlog of authorized Corps projects and evaluate the technical, financial and organizational efficiencies of a non-federal interest to carry out the design, execution, management and construction of projects. Similar provisions addressing non-federal implementation of feasibility studies are also supported by NAFSMA because of potential to complete studies more timely and have cost savings.

Levee Vegetation Policy

NAFSMA strongly supports the inclusion of language to direct the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) to conduct a comprehensive review of Corps policy guidelines on vegetation on levees. NAFSMA has raised concerns about the one size fits all nature of this policy, which we are concerned is not supported by conclusive research. Many of the flood damage reduction projects now faced with vegetation removal requirements were constructed in partnership with the Corps, with vegetation included in the projects to provide habitat benefits.

Address Crediting Issues

NAFSMA supports including language to address concerns of non-federal partners relating to Section 104 credit eligibility and its availability to sponsors for advance construction of flood protection works. With the current economic strain faced by non-federal sponsors and their federal counterparts alike, the ability to address critical flood damage reduction and public safety needs by promoting earlier construction of these essential projects represents a sound investment of federal and local resources.

Project Acceleration Provisions

NAFSMA strongly supports the inclusion of language to help ensure that the environmental review processes are managed and completed by the natural resource agencies in a timely, coordinated and environmentally responsible manner. These procedures and financial penalties are similar to those included in the MAP 21 highway bill enacted in 2012.

Make Section 214 of WRDA 2000 Permanent

Section 214 of WRDA 2000 allows the Secretary of the Army to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities to expedite the processing of permits. The Section 214 program has been valuable in allowing local governments to move forward with vital infrastructure projects and maintenance with minimal or no impact to the environment that might have otherwise been held up while waiting for permits to be processed. By funding additional staff to work on permit evaluations, existing Corps staffers are able to process permits more quickly, resulting in a reduction of permit wait times not only for the funding entity, but for any individual or organization that makes an application with that Corps District. The Section 214 program has been reauthorized for limited terms repeatedly and NAFSMA urges Congress to permanently authorize the program.

Provide Incentives for Sound Floodplain Management

NAFSMA supports the current federal cost sharing of 65 percent federal/35 percent local, but would support options to provide for a sliding cost share formula for federally-partnered flood damage reduction projects. We would urge that the 35% local cost share be reduced for non-federal sponsors where the community is carrying out sound floodplain management activities and have or would achieved a strong rating from FEMA as part of the Community Rating System program, or are taking special actions to preserve natural areas and increase community resiliency. Such incentives have been successful at the state level.

Increase the Limit for Requiring Independent Peer Review to Larger Projects

NAFSMA recommends raising the floor that triggers External Independent Peer Reviews to \$100 million or more. Setting the level at \$45 million brings in smaller water resource projects. NAFSMA is concerned that the benefits from reviewing projects on this scale have not been proven and are concerned about using limited federal resources to address these reviews. If a project under the \$100 million limit has been deemed controversial by the District Commander; it could be moved into the Independent Peer Review Process.

Improve the Corps Planning Process

The Corps in recent months has moved forward on plans to transform the civil works process and to improve the project planning process, which has been a long, complex and costly planning exercise that does not necessarily yield better flood reduction projects. NAFSMA requests the Committee to support any and all means to expedite the planning process including authorization changes, if needed.

NAFSMA supports non-federal sponsors receiving full credit for all legitimate project related expenses, similar to credit received by the Corps of Engineers for project related expenses.

Review and Improve Project Partnership Agreement

The preparation, negotiation, and approval of Project Partnership Agreements or amendments are sometimes difficult. NAFSMA recommends a comprehensive review and evaluation of the process and templates in coordination with non-federal sponsors with recommendations for improvements, including concerns about federally-partnered projects once they reach or exceed their design life. NAFSMA has led these project agreement review efforts in the past, including the initial review of the Local Cooperation Agreements in the early 1990's which led to the first major revision of the Local Cooperation Agreements, which as a result became the Project Cooperation Agreements.

NAFSMA supports changes to the Project Partnership Agreement (PPA) that would limit the contractual liability of operation, maintenance, repair, replacement and rehabilitation (OMRR&R) requirements on the local sponsor to the design life of the project. If there is not financial commitment by the federal government to recapitalize and rehabilitate projects then more of the long term service and/or decommissioning decision making should reside with the local sponsors.

Closing

NAFSMA very much appreciates this opportunity to testify and our members look forward to working with the Committee on WRDA 2013. Please feel free to contact me or NAFSMA Executive Director Susan Gilson at 202-289-8625 with questions.



Association of Flood & Stormwater Management Agencies
1333 H Street, NW, 10th Floor West Tower, Washington, DC 20005
202-289-8625 Fax: 202-530-3389 www.nafsmma.org

Support For A National Levee Safety Program

Resolution 2011-02

Approved by the Membership on November 3, 2011

WHEREAS many members of the National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies are owners and operators of flood control structures, including levees, and are charged with responsibility for the protection of lives, property and the environment from flood risk;

WHEREAS, Congress through the authorization of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 established the National Levee Safety Committee charged with the task of developing recommendations for a National Levee Safety Program;

WHEREAS, the National Committee on Levee Safety delivered a set of draft recommendations to Congress on January 15, 2009;

WHEREAS the nation is currently lacking a complete understanding of the location, ownership and condition of levees throughout the country;

WHEREAS there is clearly a need for federal funding for the rehabilitation and repair of levees throughout the country, many of which were constructed in partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers;

WHEREAS the responsibility of levee owners and operators, in addition to maintaining flood control structures, includes flood risk management activities such as emergency preparedness and planning, as well as education and outreach components;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies urges Congress to move forward with a National Levee Safety Program that is voluntary and incentive based and includes qualified states, local and regional flood control districts. A levee rehabilitation and repair fund and incentives for sound flood risk management at all levels of government are critical elements of a National Levee Safety Program.

James Fiedler, President

November 3, 2011



Association of Flood & Stormwater Management Agencies
1333 H Street, NW, 10th Floor West Tower, Washington, DC 20005
202-289-8625 Fax: 202-530-3389 www.nafsma.org

Regulations and Policies – A Reasonable and Sustainable Approach

Resolution 2011-01

Approved by the Membership on November 3, 2011

WHEREAS many members of the National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies are charged with responsibility for the protection of lives, property and the environment from flood and stormwater risk;

WHEREAS, many local, regional and state governments are facing significant economic and funding challenges;

WHEREAS, local flood, floodplain and stormwater management agencies are responsible for meeting numerous local, state and federal regulations as part of their responsibilities;

WHEREAS the number and requirements associated with these regulations requires significant funding and staff resources;

WHEREAS the members of the National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies are committed to carrying out responsible and reasonable flood management, floodplain and stormwater management requirements;

WHEREAS members of the National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies are charged with providing adequate support for infrastructure at the local and regional levels;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies urges Congress and the federal agencies to only consider legislation and regulations that respect local authority and regional differences; are reasonable with the current technical and economic environment; protect local and regional flood, floodplain and stormwater management agencies from unfunded mandates; streamline state and federal environmental permitting processes; provide support for sustainable programs at all levels of government and provide for adequate investment of public infrastructure.


James Fiedler, President

November 3, 2011

DUSTY WILLIAMS

General Manager

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

Dusty Williams is the General Manager-Chief Engineer of the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. As Director of the County's flood control agency, which serves a population of 1.6 million citizens, Mr. Williams oversees a staff of more than 230 and is responsible for a \$150 million budget (including over \$30 million annually in construction). During his 32 years with the District, he has gained an extensive background in all aspects of flood control from infrastructure design and construction to floodplain management to environmental permitting and water conservation/quality development.

Dusty has a B.S. in Civil Engineering from Cal Poly, Pomona where he also teaches part time. He is a licensed Civil Engineer in California and is a member of the American Society of Civil Engineers and the American Public Works Association. Currently he serves on the National Committee on Levee Safety and is President of the National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Truth in Testimony Disclosure

Pursuant to clause 2(g)(5) of Rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, in the case of a witness appearing in a nongovernmental capacity, a written statement of proposed testimony shall include: (1) a curriculum vitae; and (2) a disclosure of the amount and source (by agency and program) of each Federal grant (or subgrant thereof) or contract (or subcontract thereof) received during the current fiscal year or either of the two previous fiscal years by the witness or by an entity represented by the witness. Such statements, with appropriate redaction to protect the privacy of the witness, shall be made publicly available in electronic form not later than one day after the witness appears.

(1) Name: Warren "Dusty" Williams

(2) Other than yourself, name of entity you are representing:
National Association of Flood and Stormwater Management Agencies (NAFSMA)

(3) Are you testifying on behalf of an entity other than a Government (federal, state, local) entity?

YES If yes, please provide the information requested below and attach your curriculum vitae.

NO

(4) Please list the amount and source (by agency and program) of each Federal grant (or subgrant thereof) or contract (or subcontract thereof) received during the current fiscal year or either of the two previous fiscal years by you or by the entity you are representing:

DHS/FEMA - \$100,000



Signature

April 15, 2013
Date